arXiv:math/0201113v5 [math.AG] 19 May 2008

HODGE-TYPE CONJECTURE FOR HIGHER CHOW GROUPS

MORIHIKO SAITO

Abstract. Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety over the algebraic closure of the rational number field. We show that the cycle map of the higher Chow group to Deligne cohomology is injective and the higher Hodge cycles are generated by the image of the cycle map as conjectured by Beilinson and Jannsen, if the cycle map to Deligne cohomology is injective and the Hodge conjecture is true for certain smooth projective varieties over the algebraic closure of the rational number field. We also verify the conjecture on the surjectivity in some cases of the complement of a union of general hypersurfaces in a smooth projective variety.

Introduction

Let X be a smooth projective variety over a subfield k of $\mathbb{C}$, and $\mathrm{CH}^p(X,n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the higher Chow group with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients [8]. We have a cycle map to the Deligne cohomology of $X_{\mathbb{C}} := X \otimes_k \mathbb{C}$ (see [2], [9], [14], [15], [16], [19], [31], etc.):

$$\mathrm{CH}^p(X,n)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to H^{2p-n}_{\mathcal{D}}(X_{\mathbb{C}},\mathbb{Q}(p)). \tag{0.1}$$

We are interested in its injectivity when k is a subfield of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. For $n=0$, this is conjectured by Bloch and Beilinson [2] (at least for cycles algebraically equivalent to zero), see also [20]. Note that the injectivity of (0.1) for smooth quasi-projective varieties would imply the injectivity of the refined cycle map in [32] (see also [1]). It is expected that (0.1) would be bijective if we replace the Deligne cohomology by a certain extension group in the derived category of a conjectural category of mixed motives [4], and that higher extension groups Ext^i of mixed motives over a number field would vanish for $i>1$, see [2], [7], [20], etc. Since the Deligne cohomology is expressed as an extension group in the derived category of mixed Hodge structures, the problem is closely related to the full faithfulness of the forgetful functor from the (conjectural) category of mixed motives to that of mixed Hodge structures. Note that the latter problem may be viewed as an extension of the Hodge conjecture which predicts the full faithfulness for pure motives, see [12].

Let now X be a smooth quasi-projective variety over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then the cycle map induces

$$\mathrm{CH}^p(X,n)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MHS}}(\mathbb{Q}, H^{2p-n}(X_{\mathbb{C}},\mathbb{Q})(p)), \tag{0.2}$$

Date: May 19, 2008, v.5.

where the target is called the group of higher Hodge cycles. It is conjectured by Beilinson [3] and Jannsen [20] that (0.2) would be surjective. Here the source can be replaced with $\mathrm{CH}^p(X_{\mathbb{C}},n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by spreading cycles out as in Remark (1.5)(ii). Jannsen showed that the injectivity of (0.1) for $n=0$ is essentially equivalent to the surjectivity of (0.2) for $n=1$, where X in (0.2) is the complement of the support of an algebraic cycle of codimension p on X in (0.1). However, any philosophical reason for the surjectivity of (0.2) does not seem to have been known in general. We show in this paper the following (see (4.1-2) for a more precise statement):

0.3. Theorem. *If* (0.1) *is injective and the Hodge conjecture is true for any smooth projective varieties over* $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$*, then* (0.2) *is surjective and* (0.1) *is injective for any smooth quasi-projective varieties over* $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$*. In particular, the refined cycle map* [32] (*see also* [1]) *is injective in this case.*

We prove this in a more general situation including the case of systems of realizations [12], [13], [20]. Theorem (0.3) gives evidence for Bloch's conjecture [6] for surfaces with $p_g=0$, Murre's conjecture [25] on the Chow-Künneth decomposition and Voisin's conjecture [35] on the countability of $\mathrm{CH}^2_{\mathrm{ind}}(X,1)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Indeed, these can be reduced to the injectivity of the refined cycle map, assuming the algebraicity of the Künneth components of the diagonal in the case of Murre's conjecture (see [21], [29], [32]). Note that the conclusion of Theorem (0.3) does not imply the surjectivity of (0.2) for complex algebraic varieties (by taking a model), because the Leray spectral sequence for a non proper morphism does not necessarily degenerate at E_2 (see also [20], [24]). However, in the case $p=n=2$ (which is related to Voisin's conjecture), any counter example to the surjectivity does not seem to be known even over the complex number field.

In this paper we verify the surjectivity in some cases (see Theorems (5.5), (5.8) and (5.11)):

0.4. Theorem. *Let X be the complement of a union of sufficiently general hypersurfaces in a smooth projective variety over a subfield k of $\mathbb{C}$* (*more precisely, see Theorems* (5.5), (5.8) *and* (5.11)). *In case k is not algebraically closed, replace the target of* (0.2) *by the category of mixed Hodge structures with k-structure* (*defined by using de Rham cohomology*). *Then the* (*modified*) *morphism* (0.2) *is surjective if $p=n$ or $p=n+1\le \dim X-2$.*

Note that the converse of Theorem (0.3) is not true in general (i.e. the surjectivity of (0.2) does not imply the injectivity of (0.1), see (5.12)), although it holds in some case where $n=0$ in (0.1) and $n=1$ in (0.2) as treated in [20] (here it is not necessary to assume X proper for this assertion). We would need a stronger condition on the surjectivity to show the injectivity in general.

I would like to thank the referee for useful comments.

1. Deligne cohomology of mixed sheaves

1.1. Mixed sheaves. In this paper k is a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$. For a k-variety X, let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ be a category of mixed sheaves such that the $\mathcal{M}(X)$ satisfy the axioms of mixed sheaves in [30]. More precisely, the $\mathcal{M}(X)$ should be stable by standard functors like dual, external products, open pull-backs, and the cohomological direct images by affine morphisms, and they should satisfy certain compatibility conditions; then their derived categories $D^b\mathcal{M}(X)$ are stable by the standard functors like direct images and pull-backs, etc. We also assume that the weight filtration W is defined in $\mathcal{M}(X)$, and the graded quotients are are polarizable (e.g. polarizations of Hodge modules are defined over k) so that the pure objects are *semisimple*, see loc. cit. for details.

In this paper we assume there exists a natural forgetful functor

$$\mathcal{M}(X) \to \mathrm{MHM}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \tag{1.1.1}$$

in a compatible way with the above standard functors, where $\mathrm{MHM}(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ is the category of mixed Hodge modules [28] on $X_{\mathbb{C}} = X \otimes_k \mathbb{C}$. This condition implies for example that any morphism of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is *strictly compatible* with W.

In case k is not algebraically closed, we assume further a natural factorization

$$\mathcal{M}(X) \to \mathrm{MHM}(X) \to \mathrm{MHM}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \tag{1.1.2}$$

in a compatible way with the above standard functors, where $\mathrm{MHM}(X)$ is the category of mixed Hodge modules on $X \otimes_k \mathbb{C}$ whose underlying filtered $\mathcal{D}$-module is defined over X/k.

The reader may assume $\mathcal{M}(X) = \mathrm{MHM}(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ if k is algebraically closed, and $\mathcal{M}(X) = \mathrm{MHM}(X)$ otherwise. Depending on the purpose, he may also assume some more additional structure, e.g. systems of realizations $\mathcal{M}_{SR}(X)$ ([30], [32]) which was constructed in [12], [13], [20], etc. in the case $X = \operatorname{Spec} k$.

1.2. Deligne cohomology. Set $\mathcal{M}(k) = \mathcal{M}(\operatorname{Spec} k)$. We denote by $\mathbb{Q}$ the constant object in $\mathcal{M}(k)$. For a k-variety X, let

$$\mathbb{Q}_X = a_X^*\mathbb{Q}, \quad \mathbb{D}_X = a_X^!\mathbb{Q} \quad \text{in} \quad D^b\mathcal{M}(X), \tag{1.2.1}$$

where $a_X : X \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ is the structure morphism. We can define the Tate twist (m) for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ by using the cohomology of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^1$ (see e.g. [30]). We define

$$H^j(X, \mathbb{Q}) = H^j(a_X)_*\mathbb{Q}_X, \quad H_j^{\mathrm{BM}}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = H^{-j}(a_X)_*\mathbb{D}_X \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{M}(k),$$

where H^j is the usual cohomology functor. They will be denoted by $H^j(X/k, \mathbb{Q})$ and $H_j^{\mathrm{BM}}(X/k, \mathbb{Q})$ respectively when we have to specify the ground field k explicitly.

We define analogues of Deligne cohomology and homology by

$$H^j_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{Q}(i)) = \mathrm{Ext}^j(\mathbb{Q},(a_X)_*\mathbb{Q}_X(i)),$$
$$H_j^{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{Q}(i)) = \mathrm{Ext}^{-j}(\mathbb{Q},(a_X)_*\mathbb{D}_X(-i)),$$

where Ext is taken in $D^b\mathcal{M}(k)$.

Let $n = \dim X$. Then we have a canonical morphism

$$\mathbb{Q}_X \to \mathbb{D}_X(-n)[-2n] \tag{1.2.2}$$

using the adjunction relation

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_X,\mathbb{D}_X(-n)[-2n]) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q},H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{2n}(X,\mathbb{Q})(-n)), \tag{1.2.3}$$

because $H^{\mathrm{BM}}_j(X,\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $j > 2n$ and $H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{2n}(X,\mathbb{Q})$ is naturally isomorphic to a direct sum of $\mathbb{Q}(n)$ by restricting to the smooth part of each irreducible component). If X is smooth and equidimensional, (1.2.2) induces isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q}_X(n)[n] &= \mathbb{D}_X[-n] \quad \text{in} \ \ \mathcal{M}(X),\\ H^{2n-j}_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{Q}(n-i)) &= H_j^{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{Q}(i)). \end{aligned} \tag{1.2.4}$$

Using semisimplicity of pure objects as in [28], 4.5.3, we get

$$H_j^{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{Q}(i)) = \mathrm{Ext}^{-j}(\mathbb{Q},(a_X)_*\mathbb{D}_X(-i)) = 0 \quad \text{for} \ \ j < 2i \tag{1.2.5}$$

In the case X is smooth this means

$$H^j_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{Q}(i)) = \mathrm{Ext}^j(\mathbb{Q},(a_X)_*\mathbb{Q}_X(i)) = 0 \quad \text{for} \ \ j > 2i.$$

1.3. Canonical filtration. Let F_τ be a decreasing filtration on $H^j_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{Q}(i))$, $H_j^{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{Q}(i))$ induced by the canonical truncation τ (see [11]) on $(a_X)_*\mathbb{Q}_X(i)$ and $(a_X)_*\mathbb{D}_X(-i)$. We shift the filtration so that

$$\mathrm{Gr}^a_{F_\tau}H^j_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{Q}(i)), \quad \mathrm{Gr}^a_{F_\tau}H_j^{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{Q}(i))$$

are respectively subquotients of

$$\mathrm{Ext}^a(\mathbb{Q},H^{j-a}(X,\mathbb{Q})(i)), \quad \mathrm{Ext}^a(\mathbb{Q},H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{j+a}(X,\mathbb{Q})(-i)),$$

via the spectral sequences

$$E_2^{p,q} = \mathrm{Ext}^p(\mathbb{Q},H^q(X,\mathbb{Q})(i)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{Q}(i))$$
$$E_2^{p,q} = \mathrm{Ext}^p(\mathbb{Q},H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{-q}(X,\mathbb{Q})(-i)) \Rightarrow H^{\mathcal{D}}_{-p-q}(X,\mathbb{Q}(i)).$$

These spectral sequences are associated to the truncation $\tau_{\le j}$ on $(a_X)_*\mathbb{Q}_X(i)$ and $(a_X)_*\mathbb{D}_X(-i)$ by renumbering the $E_r^{p,q}$ as in [11].

For $a = 0, 1$, we have canonical injections

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Gr}^a_{F_\tau}H^j_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{Q}(i)) &\to \mathrm{Ext}^a(\mathbb{Q},H^{j-a}(X,\mathbb{Q})(i)),\\ \mathrm{Gr}^a_{F_\tau}H_j^{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{Q}(i)) &\to \mathrm{Ext}^a(\mathbb{Q},H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{j+a}(X,\mathbb{Q})(-i)), \end{aligned} \tag{1.3.1}$$

since $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ for $p < 0$ by vanishing of negative extensions.

If X is smooth proper, the filtration F_τ splits by a variant of the decomposition theorem [30], and (1.3.1) induces isomorphisms. The surjectivity of (1.3.1) is not clear except the case where $\mathcal{M}(k)$ is the category of graded-polarizable mixed $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structures so that higher extension groups Ext^i vanish for $i > 1$ (and hence $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ for $p > 1$) as a corollary of Carlson's formula [10].

1.4. Hodge-type conjecture for Chow groups. Let X be a smooth proper variety over k. Then the cycle map induces

$$\mathrm{CH}^p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{Gr}^0_{F_\tau} H^{2p}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbb{Q}(p)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(k)}(\mathbb{Q}, H^{2p}(X, \mathbb{Q})(p)). \tag{1.4.1}$$

The $\mathcal{M}$-Hodge conjecture means the surjectivity of (1.4.1). If $k = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C})$ is the category of graded-polarizable mixed Hodge structures, this is the usual Hodge conjecture.

1.5. Remarks. (i) Let U be a smooth variety over k, and X a smooth compactification. Then the pull-back

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(k)}(\mathbb{Q}, H^{2p}(X, \mathbb{Q})(p)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(k)}(\mathbb{Q}, H^{2p}(U, \mathbb{Q})(p))$$

is surjective by the weight spectral sequence as in [11]. So the $\mathcal{M}$-Hodge conjecture for U can be reduced to that for X.

(ii) The $\mathcal{M}$-Hodge conjecture for X can be reduced to the usual Hodge conjecture for $X_{\mathbb{C}}$. Indeed, a cycle on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined over a finitely generated k-subalgebra R of $\mathbb{C}$. (This is called a *spreading out*.) We can restrict it to the fiber over a closed point of $\mathrm{Spec}\, R$. If k is not algebraically closed, then a cycle ζ is defined over a finite Galois extension k' of k, and its cycle class in the de Rham cohomology of $X_{k'}/k'$ is invariant by the action of $G = \mathrm{Gal}(k'/k)$ using the factorization (1.1.2). Then we can replace ζ with

$$|G|^{-1} \textstyle\sum_{g \in G} g^* \zeta,$$

which is defined over k and whose cycle class is not changed, see Remark (iii) below.

(iii) For a smooth k-variety X, let $H^j_{\mathrm{DR}}(X/k)$ denote the de Rham cohomology of X/k. For a Galois extension $k \subset k'$, set $X_{k'} = X \otimes_k k'$. Then the Galois group $G := \mathrm{Gal}(k'/k)$ acts on $X_{k'} \to k'$ and on $H^j_{\mathrm{DR}}(X_{k'}/k')$. We have a canonical isomorphism

$$H^j_{\mathrm{DR}}(X_{k'}/k') = H^j_{\mathrm{DR}}(X/k) \otimes_k k',$$

and the above action is identified with the action associated with the tensor product with k' over k. Moreover, the cycle map

$$\mathrm{CH}^j(X_{k'})_{\mathbb{Q}} \to H^{2j}_{\mathrm{DR}}(X_{k'}/k')(j)$$

is compatible with the action of G.

(iv) If $X = \operatorname{Spec} K$ with K a finite extension of k, then

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{MHM}(k)}(\mathbb{Q}, H^0(\operatorname{Spec} K/k, \mathbb{Q})) = \mathbb{Q}.$$

Indeed, we may assume $K = k[t]/(f(t))$ with $f(t)$ irreducible of degree d over k. Let α_i be the roots of $f(t)$ in $\mathbb{C}$. Then

$$K \otimes_k \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}[t]/(f(t)) = \textstyle\bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{C}[t]/(t-\alpha_i) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{C}.$$

Moreover, we have in this case

$$H^0_{\mathrm{DR}}(\operatorname{Spec} K/k) = K.$$

Consider any morphism in $\mathrm{MHM}(k)$

$$u : \mathbb{Q} \to H^0(\operatorname{Spec} K/k, \mathbb{Q})).$$

Its de Rham part is given by a polynomial $g(t) \in k[t]/(f(t)) = K$, where $\deg g < d$. Its base change by $k \to \mathbb{C}$ is then given by $(g(\alpha_i))_{1\le i\le d}$ using the above calculation. So we get $g(\alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Q}$, since they are the de Rham part of the morphism

$$u \otimes_k \mathbb{C} : \mathbb{Q} \to H^0(\operatorname{Spec} K \otimes_k \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

This implies that $g(t) \in k$, i.e. $\deg g(t) = 0$, since the α_i^m $(0 \le m < d)$ are linearly independent over k for each i.

(v) Let X be an irreducible projective variety over k. Set $K = k(X) \cap \overline{k}$ in $\overline{k(X)}$. If X is normal, then $K \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, and X is absolutely irreducible over K. So we get in this case

$$H^0(X/k, \mathbb{Q}) = H^0(\operatorname{Spec} K/k, \mathbb{Q}) \quad \text{in } \mathrm{MHM}(k),$$

and we have by Remark (iv) above

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{MHM}(k)}(\mathbb{Q}, H^0(X/k, \mathbb{Q})) = \mathbb{Q}.$$

The last assertion holds without assuming X normal, since we have the injective morphisms

$$H^0(\operatorname{Spec} k/k, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^0(X/k, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^0(\widetilde{X}/k, \mathbb{Q}),$$

where $\widetilde{X}$ is the normalization of X.

2. Cycle map of higher Chow groups

2.1. Higher Chow groups ([8]). Let $\Delta^n = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[t_0, \dots, t_n]/(\sum t_i - 1))$. For a subset I of $\{0, \dots, n\}$, let $\Delta^n_I = \{t_i = 0\,(i \in I)\} \subset \Delta^n$. We have an inclusion $\iota_i : \Delta^{n-1} \to \Delta^n$ whose image is $\Delta^n_{\{i\}}$ for $0 \le i \le n$.

Let X be an equidimensional variety. We define $\mathcal{Z}^p(X, n)$ to be the free abelian group with generators the irreducible closed subvarieties Z of $X \times \Delta^n$ of codimension

p, intersecting al the faces $X \times \Delta^n_I$ of $X \times \Delta^n$ properly (i.e. $\dim(Z \cap X \times \Delta^n_I) = \dim Z - |I|$), see [8]. We have face maps

$$\partial_i : \mathcal{Z}^p(X, n) \to \mathcal{Z}^p(X, n-1),$$

induced by ι_i. Let $\partial = \sum(-1)^i \partial_i$. Then $\partial^2 = 0$, and $\mathrm{CH}^p(X, n)$ is defined to be the homology of the complex (i.e. $\mathrm{Ker}\,\partial/\mathrm{Im}\,\partial$) which is a subquotient of $\mathcal{Z}^p(X, n)$. Let $\mathcal{Z}^p(X, \bullet)'$ be the subcomplex of $\mathcal{Z}^p(X, \bullet)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{Z}^p(X, n)' = \textstyle\bigcap_{0 \le i < n} \mathrm{Ker}(\partial_i : \mathcal{Z}^p(X, n) \to \mathcal{Z}^p(X, n-1)).$$

Then the inclusion induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathcal{Z}^p(X, \bullet)' \to \mathcal{Z}^p(X, \bullet) \tag{2.1.1}$$

by [8] (see also [31], 2.1).

2.2. Filtration G. Set

$$S_n = \textstyle\bigcup_{0 \le i \le n} \Delta^n_{\{i\}}, \;\; S'_n = \bigcup_{0 \le i < n} \Delta^n_{\{i\}}, \;\; U_n = \Delta^n \setminus S_n, \;\; U'_n = \Delta^n \setminus S'_n,$$

with the inclusions $i_n : S_n \to \Delta^n$, $i'_n : S'_n \to \Delta^n$, $j_n : U_n \to \Delta^n$, $j'_n : U'_n \to \Delta^n$. (These morphisms will sometimes denote also the base change of them.) We have a short exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}(\Delta^n)$

$$0 \to (j_{n-1})_! \mathbb{Q}_{U_{n-1}}[n-1] \to (j_n)_! \mathbb{Q}_{U_n}[n] \to (j'_n)_! \mathbb{Q}_{U'_n}[n] \to 0, \tag{2.2.1}$$

where Δ^{n-1} is identified with $\Delta^n_{\{n\}}$, and the direct images by closed embeddings are omitted to simplify the notation. This gives an increasing filtration G on $(j_n)_! \mathbb{Q}_{U_n}[n]$ such that

$$\mathrm{Gr}^G_m (j_n)_! \mathbb{Q}_{U_n}[n] = (j'_m)_! \mathbb{Q}_{U'_m}[m] \quad \text{for } 0 < m \le n, \tag{2.2.2}$$

and $\mathrm{Gr}^G_0 (j_n)_! \mathbb{Q}_{U_n}[n] = \mathbb{Q}_{\mathrm{Spec}\, k}$, where $\mathrm{Spec}\, k$ is identified with the closed point of Δ^n defined by $t_i = 0$ for $i \ne 0$. Since $U'_n = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$, we have

$$(a_{\Delta^n})_* (j'_n)_! \mathbb{Q}_{U'_n} = 0, \quad (a_{\Delta^n})_* (j_n)_! \mathbb{Q}_{U_n} = \mathbb{Q}_{\mathrm{Spec}\, k}[-n]. \tag{2.2.3}$$

2.3. Cycle map. Let $\zeta = \sum_j a_j [Z_j] \in \mathcal{Z}^p(X, n)'$. Put $Z = \mathrm{supp}\, \zeta \,(:= \bigcup_j Z_j)$, $d = \dim X - p$, and $d' = \dim Z = d + n$. We define

$$u_\zeta \in \mathrm{Ext}^{-2d'}(\mathbb{Q}_Z, \mathbb{D}_{X \times \Delta^n}(-d'))$$

to be the composition of morphisms

$$\mathbb{Q}_Z \to \textstyle\bigoplus_j \mathbb{Q}_{Z_j} \to \bigoplus_j \mathbb{D}_{Z_j}(-d')[-2d'] \to \mathbb{D}_{X \times \Delta^n}(-d')[-2d'],$$

where the second morphism is given by the sum of the canonical morphisms (1.2.2) multiplied by a_j, and the other morphisms are canonical ones. Consider the composition of u_ζ with

$$\mathbb{D}_{X \times \Delta^n} \to (\iota_i)_* \iota_i^* \mathbb{D}_{X \times \Delta^n} = \mathbb{D}_{X \times \Delta^{n-1}}(1)[2]$$

for $i \neq n$, which is induced by $\iota_i^* : \mathbb{Q}_{\Delta^n} \to \mathbb{Q}_{\Delta^{n-1}}$. (Here the base change of ι_i is also denoted by it.) Let $Z_{(i)} = Z \cap X \times \Delta^n_{\{i\}}$. Then the composition vanishes by the assumption that $\zeta \in \operatorname{Ker} \partial_i$. Indeed, it is identified with an element of

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Ext}^{2-2d'}(\mathbb{Q}_{Z_{(i)}}, \mathbb{D}_{Z_{(i)}}(1-d')) &= \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}, H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{2d'-2}(Z_{(i)}, \mathbb{Q})(1-d')) \\ &= \textstyle\bigoplus_j \mathbb{Q}\end{aligned}$$

by using the adjunction relations for the inclusion $\iota_i : X \times \Delta^{n-1} \to X \times \Delta^n$, and is given by the intersection multiplicity of ζ with $X \times \Delta^n_{\{i\}}$ at each irreducible component of $Z_{(i)}$, because the cycle map is compatible with the pull-back for a closed immersion of a locally principal divisor (see e.g. [29], II). Combined with vanishing of negative extensions, this implies vanishing of the composition of u_ζ with

$$\mathbb{D}_{X\times\Delta^n} \to (i'_n)_*(i'_n)^*\mathbb{D}_{X\times\Delta^n},$$

because $(i'_n)^*\mathbb{D}_{\Delta^n} = \mathbb{Q}_{S'_n}(n)[2n]$ and the graded-pieces of the weight filtration on $\mathbb{Q}_{S'_n}[n-1] \in \mathcal{M}(S'_n)$ are constant sheaves supported on intersections of faces. So u_ζ is uniquely lifted to

$$u'_\zeta \in \operatorname{Ext}^{-2d'}(\mathbb{Q}_Z, (j'_n)_!\mathbb{D}_{X\times U'_n}(-d'))$$

by using the long exact sequence together with vanishing of negative extensions.

If furthermore $\zeta \in \operatorname{Ker} \partial_n$, we see that u'_ζ is uniquely lifted to

$$u''_\zeta \in \operatorname{Ext}^{-2d'}(\mathbb{Q}_Z, (j_n)_!\mathbb{D}_{X\times U_n}(-d'))$$

by a similar argument. Taking the composition with $\mathbb{Q}_{X\times\Delta^n} \to \mathbb{Q}_Z$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}v_\zeta &\in \operatorname{Ext}^{-2d'}(\mathbb{Q}_{X\times\Delta^n}, (j_n)_!\mathbb{D}_{X\times U_n}(-d')) \\ &= \operatorname{Ext}^{-2d'}(\mathbb{Q}, (a_{X\times\Delta^n})_*(j_n)_!\mathbb{D}_{X\times U_n}(-d')),\end{aligned}$$

and it defines $cl(\zeta) \in H^{\mathcal{D}}_{2d+n}(X, \mathbb{Q}(d))$, because $(a_{\Delta^n})_*(j_n)_!\mathbb{D}_{U_n} = \mathbb{Q}(n)[n]$.

This construction defines the cycle map

$$cl : \mathrm{CH}^p(X, n) \to H^{\mathcal{D}}_{2d+n}(X, \mathbb{Q}(d)). \tag{2.3.1}$$

Indeed, if ζ belongs to the image of the differential of $\mathcal{Z}^p(X, \bullet)'$, then v_ζ comes from

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{-2d'-2}(\mathbb{Q}, (a_{X\times\Delta^{n+1}})_*(j_{n+1})_!\mathbb{D}_{X\times U_{n+1}}(-d'-1)),$$

(which vanishes by (2.2.3)) by using the compatibility of the cycle map and the pull-back for $X \times \Delta^n \to X \times \Delta^{n+1}$.

If X is smooth, (2.3.1) gives

$$cl : \mathrm{CH}^p(X, n) \to H^{2p-n}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbb{Q}(p)). \tag{2.3.2}$$

If $n = 0$ and X is smooth proper, this induces the Abel-Jacobi map [18] using Carlson's formula [10]. We can show that the cycle map is compatible with the pushforward by a proper morphism and the pull-back by a morphism of smooth

quasi-projective varieties, see [31]. So it is also compatible with the action of algebraic correspondences.

Let F_τ denote also the induced filtration on $\mathrm{CH}^p(X,n)$ by the cycle map, see (1.3). We have the induced morphisms

$$\mathrm{Gr}^i_{F_\tau} cl : \mathrm{Gr}^i_{F_\tau}\mathrm{CH}^p(X,n) \to \mathrm{Gr}^i_{F_\tau} H^{2p-n}_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{Q}(p)). \tag{2.3.3}$$

Note that the target is $\mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathbb{Q}, H^{2p-n-i}(X,\mathbb{Q})(p))$ if X is smooth proper, see (1.3).

2.4. Lemma. *Let X, Y be smooth projective varieties over k. If the $\mathcal{M}$-Hodge conjecture holds for cycles of codimension $\dim Y - j$ in $X \times_k Y$, then for $\zeta \in \mathrm{CH}^{j+\dim X}(X \times_k Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we have*

$$\zeta_*(\mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{Gr}^i_{F_\tau} cl) = \mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{Gr}^i_{F_\tau} cl \cap \mathrm{Im}\,\zeta_* \quad \textit{in} \quad \mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathbb{Q}, H^{2p-n-i}(Y,\mathbb{Q})(p)), \tag{2.4.1}$$

where

$$\zeta_* : \mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathbb{Q}, H^{q-2j}(X,\mathbb{Q})(p-j)) \to \mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathbb{Q}, H^q(Y,\mathbb{Q})(p))$$

is induced by ζ, and $\mathrm{Gr}^i_{F_\tau} cl$ is as in (2.3.3).

Proof. By assumption, there exists $\zeta' \in \mathrm{CH}^{\dim Y - j}(Y \times_k X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that

$$\zeta'_* : H^q(Y,\mathbb{Q}) \to H^{q-2j}(X,\mathbb{Q})(-j)$$

vanishes for $q \neq 2p-n-i$, and the restriction of $\zeta_* \circ \zeta'_*$ to $\mathrm{Im}\,\zeta_* \subset H^q(Y,\mathbb{Q})$ is the identity for $q = 2p-n-i$, because $H^{q-2j}(X,\mathbb{Q})$ and $H^q(Y,\mathbb{Q})$ are semisimple. So the assertion follows from the compatibility of (2.3.3) with the action of correspondences.

3. Case of varieties with normal crossings

3.1. Variant for higher Chow groups. We say that Y is a variety with normal crossings if Y is equidimensional, and is locally isomorphic to a divisor with normal crossings. In this paper we assume that the irreducible components $Y_i\,(1 \le i \le r)$ are smooth. For a subset I of $\{1,\dots,r\}$, we define $Y_I = \bigcap_{i\in I} Y_i$.

Consider the double complex

$$\to \bigoplus_{|I|=i+1} \mathcal{Z}^{p-i}(Y_I,\bullet) \to \bigoplus_{|I|=i} \mathcal{Z}^{p-i+1}(Y_I,\bullet) \to \ \cdots \ \to \bigoplus_{|I|=1} \mathcal{Z}^p(Y_I,\bullet) \to 0,$$

where the differential is given by the alternating sum as usual, and $\bigoplus_{|I|=1} \mathcal{Z}^p(Y_I,\bullet)$ is placed at the degree zero. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^p(Y,\bullet)$ be its total complex, and similarly for $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^p(Y,\bullet)'$ (with $\mathcal{Z}^p(Y_I,\bullet)$ replaced by $\mathcal{Z}^p(Y_I,\bullet)'$). We have a canonical quasi-isomorphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^p(Y,\bullet)' \to \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^p(Y,\bullet)$ by (2.1.1).

We can verify that the canonical morphisms

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^p(Y,\bullet) \to \mathcal{Z}^p(Y,\bullet), \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^p(Y,\bullet)' \to \mathcal{Z}^p(Y,\bullet)' \tag{3.1.1}$$

are quasi-isomorphisms. (Indeed, for an irreducible subvariety Z of $Y \times \Delta^n$ intersecting all the faces $Y \times \Delta_I^n$ properly, let J be the subset of $\{1,\dots,r\}$ such that $Y_i \times \Delta^n$ contains Z for $i \in J$. Then Z defines an element of $\mathcal{Z}^{p-|I|+1}(Y_I,n)$ for $I \subset J$. This gives an acyclic complex if we define an augmented complex by adding the term for $Y_\emptyset = Y$. So we get the first quasi-isomorphism. Then the second follows from (2.1.1).)

Let W be an increasing filtration on $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^p(Y,\bullet)'$ such that $\mathrm{Gr}_i^W \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^p(Y,\bullet)'$ consists of $\bigoplus_{|I|=i+1} \mathcal{Z}^{p-i}(Y_I,\bullet)$ for $i \ge 0$ and $W_{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^p(Y,\bullet) = 0$. We define

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^p(Y^{[a,b]},\bullet)' = W_b\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^p(Y,\bullet)'/W_{a-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^p(Y,\bullet)'.$$

Let $\mathrm{CH}^p(Y^{[a,b]},n)$ be its homology. We denote them by $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^p(Y^{[a]},\bullet)', \mathrm{CH}^p(Y^{[a]},n)$ if $a=b$, and by $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^p(Y^{\ge a},\bullet)', \mathrm{CH}^p(Y^{\ge a},n)$ if $b=+\infty$. Then we have a canonical long exact sequence

$$\mathrm{CH}^p(Y^{[a]},n) \to \mathrm{CH}^p(Y^{\ge a},n) \to \mathrm{CH}^p(Y^{\ge a+1},n) \to \mathrm{CH}^p(Y^{[a]},n-1) \tag{3.1.2}$$

Note that we have by definition

$$\mathrm{CH}^p(Y^{[a]},n) = \textstyle\bigoplus_{|I|=a+1} \mathrm{CH}^{p-a}(Y_I,n-a). \tag{3.1.3}$$

3.2. Variant for Deligne cohomology. Let W be the weight filtration on $\mathbb{D}_Y \in \mathcal{M}(Y)[\dim Y]$ (which is a mixed sheaf shifted by $\dim Y$) so that

$$\mathrm{Gr}_a^W \mathbb{D}_Y = \textstyle\bigoplus_{|I|=a+1} \mathbb{D}_{Y_I}[a] \in \mathcal{M}(Y)[\dim Y].$$

Let $\mathbb{D}_Y^{[a,b]} = W_b\mathbb{D}_Y/W_{a-1}\mathbb{D}_Y$, and

$$H_j^{\mathcal{D}}(Y^{[a,b]},\mathbb{Q}(i)) = \mathrm{Ext}^{-j}(\mathbb{Q},(a_Y)_*\mathbb{D}_Y^{[a,b]}(-i)).$$

We denote it by $H_j^{\mathcal{D}}(Y^{[a]},\mathbb{Q}(i))$ if $a=b$, and by $H_j^{\mathcal{D}}(Y^{\ge a},\mathbb{Q}(i))$ if $b=+\infty$. Then we have a long exact sequence

$$H_j^{\mathcal{D}}(Y^{[a]},\mathbb{Q}(i)) \to H_j^{\mathcal{D}}(Y^{\ge a},\mathbb{Q}(i)) \to H_j^{\mathcal{D}}(Y^{\ge a+1},\mathbb{Q}(i)) \to H_{j-1}^{\mathcal{D}}(Y^{[a]},\mathbb{Q}(i)). \tag{3.2.1}$$

Note that we have by definition

$$H_j^{\mathcal{D}}(Y^{[a]},\mathbb{Q}(i)) = \textstyle\bigoplus_{|I|=a+1} H_{j-a}^{\mathcal{D}}(Y_I,\mathbb{Q}(i)). \tag{3.2.2}$$

The filtration W induces naturally a filtration W on $\mathbb{D}_{Y\times U_n}$ which is the external product of $\mathbb{D}_Y$ and $\mathbb{D}_{U_n}$. Let

$$\mathbb{D}_{Y\times U_n}^{\ge a} = \mathbb{D}_{Y\times U_n}/W_{a-1}\mathbb{D}_{Y\times U_n}.$$

We define an increasing filtration G on

$$(j_n)_!\mathbb{D}_{Y\times U_n}^{\ge a} \in \mathcal{M}(Y\times\Delta^n)[n+\dim Y]$$

to be the convolution (see [5]) of W on $\mathbb{D}_{\overline{Y}}^{\geq a}$ and G on $(j_n)_!\mathbb{D}_{U_n}$, where the latter is induced by G on

$$(j_n)_!\mathbb{Q}_{U_n}[n] = (j_n)_!\mathbb{D}_{U_n}(-n)[-n].$$

(Note that $(j_n)_!\mathbb{D}_{\overline{Y}\times U_n}^{\geq a}$ is the external product of $\mathbb{D}_{\overline{Y}}^{\geq a}$ and $(j_n)_!\mathbb{D}_{U_n}$, because the direct image is compatible with external product.) Then $\mathrm{Gr}_m^G(j_n)_!\mathbb{D}_{\overline{Y}\times U_n}^{\geq a}$ is the direct sum of the external products of

$$\textstyle\bigoplus_{|I|=i+1}\mathbb{D}_{Y_I}[i] \quad \text{and} \quad (j'_{m-i})_!\mathbb{D}_{U'_{m-i}}(n-m+i)[n-m+i] \quad \text{for } i \geq a.$$

3.3. Proposition. *We have cycle maps*

$$cl : \mathrm{CH}^p(Y^{\geq a}, n) \to H^{\mathcal{D}}_{2d+n}(Y^{\geq a}, \mathbb{Q}(d)) \tag{3.3.1}$$

which induce a morphism of the long exact sequence (3.1.2) *to* (3.2.1)*, where* $d = \dim Y - p$*, and we use* (2.3.1) *for* $\mathrm{CH}^p(Y^{[a]}, n)$*. Furthermore* (3.3.1) *is identified with* (2.3.1) *if* $a = 0$*.*

Proof. Let $\zeta = \sum_{i\geq a}\zeta_i \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^p(Y^{\geq a}, n)'$ with $\zeta_i \in \bigoplus_{|I|=i+1}\mathcal{Z}^{p-i}(Y_I, n)$. Put $Z = \mathrm{supp}\,\zeta, Z_i = \mathrm{supp}\,\zeta_i$ so that $Z = \bigcup_{i\geq a} Z_i$. Let d, d' be as in (2.3). Then ζ defines

$$u'_\zeta \in \mathrm{Ext}^{-2d'}(\mathbb{Q}_Z, \mathrm{Gr}_n^G(j_n)_!\mathbb{D}_{\overline{Y}\times U_n}^{\geq a}(-d'))$$

by an argument similar to (2.3). If ζ is annihilated by the differential of $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^p(Y^{\geq a}, n)'$, then it is uniquely lifted to

$$u'_\zeta \in \mathrm{Ext}^{-2d'}(\mathbb{Q}_Z, G_n(j_n)_!\mathbb{D}_{\overline{Y}\times U_n}^{\geq a}(-d')),$$

by using the compatibility of the cycle map with the pull-back by a closed immersion of a principal divisor. Taking the composition with natural morphisms, we get

$$\begin{aligned} v_\zeta &\in \mathrm{Ext}^{-2d'}(\mathbb{Q}_{Y\times\Delta^n}, (j_n)_!\mathbb{D}_{\overline{Y}\times U_n}^{\geq a}(-d')) \\ &= \mathrm{Ext}^{-2d'}(\mathbb{Q}, (a_{Y\times\Delta^n})_*(j_n)_!\mathbb{D}_{\overline{Y}\times U_n}^{\geq a}(-d')), \end{aligned}$$

and it gives $cl(\zeta) \in H^{\mathcal{D}}_{2d+n}(Y^{\geq a}, \mathbb{Q}(d))$.

This defines a well-defined cycle map

$$cl : \mathrm{CH}^p(Y^{\geq a}, n) \to H^{\mathcal{D}}_{2d+n}(Y^{\geq a}, \mathbb{Q}(d)).$$

Indeed, if ζ belongs to the coboundary, then the image of u'_ζ in

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{-2d'}(\mathbb{Q}_{Y\times\Delta^{n+1}}, G_{n+1}(j_{n+1})_!\mathbb{D}_{\overline{Y}\times U_{n+1}}^{\geq a}(-d'))$$

vanishes by the long exact sequence associated with

$$0 \to G_n \to G_{n+1} \to \mathrm{Gr}_{n+1}^G \to 0.$$

The remaining assertions follow from the construction easily. This finishes the proof of Proposition (3.3).

4. Proof of Theorem (0.3)

In this section we prove Theorem (0.3) by showing Theorems (4.1) and (4.2) below. Here the condition $k = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ in Theorem (0.3) does not appear explicitly. This is implicitly used in the assumption on the injectivity of (4.1.1).

4.1. Theorem. *Let U be a smooth quasi-projective variety over k, and X a smooth projective compactification of X such that the complement Y is a divisor with normal crossings whose irreducible components Y_i are smooth. Let Y_I be as in* (3.1)*, and put $Y_\emptyset = X$ for $I = \emptyset$. Let p, n be integers, and set $d = \dim X - p$. Assume that the cycle maps*

$$\mathrm{CH}^{p-|I|}(Y_I, n-|I|)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to H^{2p-n-|I|}_{\mathcal{D}}(Y_I, \mathbb{Q}(p-|I|))/F^2_\tau \tag{4.1.1}$$

are injective and the $\mathcal{M}$-Hodge conjecture for Y_I and $Y_I \times Y_{I\cup\{i\}}$ is true for any I and $i \notin I$ (including the case $I = \emptyset$). Then the following cycle maps are surjective for any $a \geq 0$ in the notation of (3.1)*:*

$$\mathrm{CH}^p(U, n+1)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{Gr}^0_{F_\tau} H^{2p-n-1}_{\mathcal{D}}(U, \mathbb{Q}(p)), \tag{4.1.2}$$

$$\mathrm{CH}^{p-1}(Y^{\geq a}, n)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{Gr}^0_{F_\tau} H^{\mathcal{D}}_{2d+n}(Y^{\geq a}, \mathbb{Q}(d)). \tag{4.1.3}$$

Proof. We first show that the surjectivity of (4.1.2) assuming that for (4.1.3). We may assume $n \geq 0$ because the case $n+1 = 0$ follows from the $\mathcal{M}$-Hodge conjecture for X, see Remark (1.5)(i). Note that the target of (4.1.2) vanishes for $n+1 < 0$ since $H^{2p-n-1}(U, \mathbb{Q}(p))$ has weights $\geq -n-1$, see also (1.2.5). Similarly the target of (4.1.3) vanishes for $n < a$ using (3.2.2) together with a spectral sequence.

Set $q = 2d + n$. By an argument similar to [31], we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\longrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}^p(U, n+1)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}^{p-1}(Y, n)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}^p(X, n)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
\longrightarrow & H^{\mathcal{D}}_{q+1}(U, \mathbb{Q}(d)) & \longrightarrow & H^{\mathcal{D}}_q(Y, \mathbb{Q}(d)) & \longrightarrow & H^{\mathcal{D}}_q(X, \mathbb{Q}(d)) & \longrightarrow
\end{array}$$

Let $\xi \in H^{\mathcal{D}}_{q+1}(U, \mathbb{Q}(d))$, and ξ' be its image in $H^{\mathcal{D}}_q(Y, \mathbb{Q}(d))$. By the surjectivity of (4.1.3) for $a = 0$, there exists $\zeta' \in \mathrm{CH}^{p-1}(Y, n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $cl(\zeta') - \xi' \in F^1_\tau H^{\mathcal{D}}_q(Y, \mathbb{Q}(d))$. Let ζ'' be the image of ζ' in $\mathrm{CH}^p(X, n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then $cl(\zeta'')$ coincides with the image of $cl(\zeta') - \xi'$ and belongs to $F^1_\tau H^{\mathcal{D}}_q(X, \mathbb{Q}(d))$.

By the weight spectral sequence [11], we see that the morphisms $Y_i \to Y \to X$ induce an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Im}(H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{q+1}(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{q+1}(X, \mathbb{Q})) = \mathrm{Im}(\textstyle\bigoplus_i H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{q+1}(Y_i, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{q+1}(X, \mathbb{Q})), \tag{4.1.4}$$

and the projection

$$H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{q+1}(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{Im}(H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{q+1}(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{q+1}(X, \mathbb{Q}))$$

splits by semisimplicity of $H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{q+1}(Y_i,\mathbb{Q})\in\mathcal{M}(k)$. So there exists $\zeta_1\in \mathrm{CH}^{p-1}(Y,n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by Lemma (2.4) (applied to X and Y_i) such that $cl(\zeta_1)\in F^1_\tau H^{\mathcal{D}}_q(Y,\mathbb{Q}(d))$ and the images of $cl(\zeta')$ and $cl(\zeta_1)$ in $\mathrm{Gr}^1_{F_\tau}H^{\mathcal{D}}_q(X,\mathbb{Q}(d))$ coincide. Thus, replacing ζ' with $\zeta'-\zeta_1$, we may assume

$$cl(\zeta'')\in F^2_\tau H^{\mathcal{D}}_q(X,\mathbb{Q}(d)).$$

But this implies $\zeta''=0$ by the injectivity of (4.1.1), and ζ' comes from $\zeta\in \mathrm{CH}^p(U,n+1)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. So the surjectivity of (4.1.2) is reduced to the injectivity of

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(k)}(\mathbb{Q},H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{q+1}(U,\mathbb{Q}))\to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(k)}(\mathbb{Q},H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{q}(Y,\mathbb{Q})).$$

Using the long exact sequence of Borel-Moore homology

$$\to H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{q+1}(X,\mathbb{Q})\to H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{q+1}(U,\mathbb{Q})\to H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{q}(Y,\mathbb{Q})\to,$$

together with semisimplicity of $H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{q+1}(X,\mathbb{Q})$, this injectivity follows from vanishing of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(k)}(\mathbb{Q},H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{q+1}(X,\mathbb{Q})(d))$ (where the target is pure of weight $-n-1<0$).

The proof of the surjectivity of (4.1.3) is by decreasing induction on a, and is similar to the above argument. Here we use Proposition (3.3) instead of the above commutative diagram, and the isomorphism corresponding to (4.1.4) follows from the morphisms

$$\mathbb{D}_Y^{[a+1]}\to\mathbb{D}_Y^{\geq a+1}\to\mathbb{D}_Y^{[a]}[1].$$

Note that the surjectivity of (4.1.3) at the initial stage of the induction (where $Y^{\geq a}=Y^{[a]}$) follows from the Hodge conjecture, because the target of (4.1.3) vanishes if $n-a\neq 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem (4.1).

4.2. Theorem. *With the notation and the assumptions of Theorem* (4.1)*, the following cycle maps are injective for any* $a\geq 0$:

$$\mathrm{CH}^p(U,n)_{\mathbb{Q}}\to H^{2p-n}_{\mathcal{D}}(U,\mathbb{Q}(p))/F^2_\tau, \tag{4.2.1}$$

$$\mathrm{CH}^{p-1}(Y^{\geq a},n-1)_{\mathbb{Q}}\to H^{\mathcal{D}}_{2d+n-1}(Y^{\geq a},\mathbb{Q}(d))/F^2_\tau. \tag{4.2.2}$$

Proof. We first show the assertion for (4.2.1) assuming that for (4.2.2). Set $q=2d+n$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathrm{CH}^{p-1}(Y,n)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}^{p}(X,n)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}^{p}(U,n)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}^{p-1}(Y,n-1)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^{\mathcal{D}}_q(Y,\mathbb{Q}(d)) & \longrightarrow & H^{\mathcal{D}}_q(X,\mathbb{Q}(d)) & \longrightarrow & H^{\mathcal{D}}_q(U,\mathbb{Q}(d)) & \longrightarrow & H^{\mathcal{D}}_{q-1}(Y,\mathbb{Q}(d))
\end{array}$$

Let $\zeta\in\mathrm{CH}^p(U,n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and assume $cl(\zeta)\in F^2_\tau H^{\mathcal{D}}_q(U,\mathbb{Q}(d))$. Then the image of ζ in $\mathrm{CH}^{p-1}(Y,n-1)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ vanishes by (4.2.2), and ζ comes from $\zeta'\in\mathrm{CH}^p(X,n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let $\xi'=cl(\zeta')$. Note that

$$\mathrm{Gr}^0_{F_\tau}\xi'\in\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q},H^{\mathrm{BM}}_q(X,\mathbb{Q})(d))=0,$$

unless $n=0$. Since the image of ξ' in $\mathrm{Gr}^0_{F_\tau}H^{\mathcal{D}}_q(U,\mathbb{Q}(d))$ vanishes, it follows from (4.1.4) that $\mathrm{Gr}^0_{F_\tau}\xi'$ comes from

$$\xi''\in\textstyle\bigoplus_i \mathrm{Gr}^0_{F_\tau}H^{\mathcal{D}}_q(Y_i,\mathbb{Q}(d)).$$

Replacing ζ' if necessary, we may assume $\mathrm{Gr}^0_{F_\tau}\xi'=0$ by the Hodge conjecture for Y_i. This implies that ξ' belongs to $\mathrm{Im}\,cl\cap F^1_\tau H^{\mathcal{D}}_q(X,\mathbb{Q}(d))$, and hence $\mathrm{Gr}^1_{F_\tau}\xi'$ comes from $\mathrm{CH}^{p-1}(Y,n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by an argument similar to the proof of Theorem (4.2) (using Lemma (2.4)). So we may assume $\xi'\in F^2_\tau H^{\mathcal{D}}_q(X,\mathbb{Q}(d))$ (replacing ζ' if necessary), and the assertion for (4.2.1) follows from the hypothesis on the injectivity of (4.1.1).

The proof of the injectivity of (4.2.2) is similar by decreasing induction on a using a morphism of (3.1.2) to (3.2.1) (see Proposition (3.3)) instead of the above commutative diagram. This finishes the proof of Theorem (4.2).

4.3. Proof of Theorem (0.3). The first assertion follows from Theorems (4.1) and (4.2) together with (1.3). Then the last assertion is clear by definition of the refined cycle map using the spreading out of algebraic cycles ([6], [17], [34]).

4.4. Remark. Let X be a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$. If (0.2) is surjective for any open subvarieties of X with $p=n=2$, then it would imply the injectivity of the higher Abel-Jacobi map of the indecomposable higher Chow group to the reduced Deligne cohomology

$$\mathrm{CH}^2_{\mathrm{ind}}(X,1)_{\mathbb{Q}}\to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{MHS}}(\mathbb{Q},H^2(X,\mathbb{Q})(2))/\mathrm{Hdg}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{C}^*, \tag{4.4.1}$$

where $\mathrm{Hdg}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MHS}}(\mathbb{Q},H^2(X,\mathbb{Q})(1))$, see [3], [23], [26], [27], [31]. The image of (4.4.1) is countable by a rigidity argument (see [2], [23]), and its injectivity would imply Voisin's conjecture [35] on the countability of the indecomposable higher Chow group. Note that this conjecture can also be reduced to the injectivity of the refined cycle map (see [32]), and to the hypotheses of Theorem (0.3).

5. Complement of general hypersurfaces

5.1. Moderate singularities. Let Z be a complex algebraic variety. Assume Z is purely d-dimensional and $\mathbb{Q}_Z[d]$ is a perverse sheaf (e.g. Z is a divisor on a smooth variety). Let W be the weight filtration on $\mathbb{Q}_Z[d]$, see [5], [28]. We say that Z has only *moderate singularities* in this paper, if

$$\dim\mathrm{supp}\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_i(\mathbb{Q}_Z[d])<i\quad\text{for }\ i<d. \tag{5.1.1}$$

If Z is a k-variety, we say that Z has only moderate singularities if so is $Z_{\mathbb{C}}:=Z\otimes_k\mathbb{C}$. Condition (5.1.1) is trivially satisfied if Z is smooth, or more generally, if Z is a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology manifold. By duality, (5.1.1) is equivalent to

$$\dim\mathrm{supp}\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{i-d}(\mathbb{D}_Z[-d])<d-i\quad\text{for }\ i>0, \tag{5.1.2}$$

and implies

$$\mathrm{Gr}^W_{2i-2d}H^{BM}_{2d-i}(Z,\mathbb{Q})=0 \quad \text{for } i>0. \tag{5.1.3}$$

If Z is a divisor on a smooth variety X with a local (reduced) defining equation f, then (5.1.1) is equivalent to

$$\dim \mathrm{supp}\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{d+i}\varphi_{f,1}\mathbb{Q}_X[d])<d-i \quad \text{for } i>0. \tag{5.1.4}$$

using the short exact sequence

$$0\to\mathbb{Q}_Z[d]\to\psi_{f,1}\mathbb{Q}_X[d]\to\varphi_{f,1}\mathbb{Q}_X[d]\to 0$$

together with

$$\mathbb{Q}_Z[d]=\mathrm{Ker}\,N\subset\psi_{f,1}\mathbb{Q}_X[d],$$

because the weight filtration W on $\psi_{f,1}\mathbb{Q}_X[d]$ is the monodromy filtration shifted by d. Here $\psi_{f,1}$ and $\varphi_{f,1}$ denote the unipotent monodromy part of Deligne's nearby and vanishing cycle functors ψ_f and φ_f respectively, and $N=\log T_u$ with $T=T_uT_s$ the Jordan decomposition of the monodromy T. If furthermore Z has only isolated singularities, then (5.1.1) is equivalent to that the Jordan blocks of the Milnor monodromy T on the vanishing cohomology for the eigenvalue 1 have size $<d$. In the case $d=1$, the condition is equivalent to the analytic local irreducibility.

If Z is a divisor on a smooth proper variety X, and U is its complement, then condition (5.1.1) implies

$$\mathrm{Gr}^W_{2i+2}H^{i+1}(U,\mathbb{Q})=0 \quad \text{for } i>0. \tag{5.1.5}$$

Note that (5.1.5) holds also for $i=0$ if Z is irreducible.

If Z is a quasi-projective variety, then condition (5.1.1) is stable by a generic hypersurface section. Note that the irreducibility of Z is also stable by a generic hypersurface section of positive dimension. (This follows for example from a generalization of the weak Lefschetz theorem [5] applied to a smooth affine open subvariety.)

5.2. Lemma. *Let X be a connected smooth complex projective variety, and D a divisor on X such that $X':=X\setminus D$ is affine. Let Y be a smooth hypersurface section of X which intersects transversely each stratum of a Whitney stratification of X compatible with D. Put $Y'=Y\cap X'$ with the inclusion $i':Y'\to X'$. Assume that the cycle class of Y' vanishes in $H^2(X',\mathbb{Q})(1)$. Then the localization sequence induces the exact sequences*

$$0\to H^j(X',\mathbb{Q})\to H^j(X\setminus Y',\mathbb{Q})\to H^{j-1}(Y',\mathbb{Q})(-1)\to 0. \tag{5.2.1}$$

Proof. It is enough to show vanishing of the Gysin morphism

$$i'_*:H^j(Y',\mathbb{Q})\to H^{j+2}(X',\mathbb{Q})(1) \tag{5.2.2}$$

for any j. Let $d = \dim X$. Then $H^j(X', \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $j > d$, and $H^j(Y', \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $j > d-1$. The restriction morphism

$$i'^* : H^j(X', \mathbb{Q}) \to H^j(Y', \mathbb{Q}) \tag{5.2.3}$$

is an isomorphism for $j \le d-2$ by a generalization of the weak Lefschetz theorem for perverse sheaves [5] (applied to the perverse sheaf $\mathbf{R}j'_*\mathbb{Q}_{X'}[d]$ where $j' : X' \to X$ denotes the inclusion). So it is enough to show vanishing of the composition of (5.2.3) and (5.2.2). But this composition coincides with the cup product with the cohomology class of Y', and it vanishes by hypothesis. So the assertion follows.

5.3. Hypersurface sections. Let X be a geometrically irreducible smooth projective k-variety, where k is a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$. For a line bundle L, we define

$$\mathcal{P}_L = \mathrm{Proj}(\mathrm{Sym}_k \Gamma(X, L)^\vee).$$

(It is the projective space associated with the symmetric algebra of the dual vector space of $\Gamma(X, L)$ over k.) Note that a k-valued point z of $\mathcal{P}_L$ corresponds to a divisor D on X such that $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \simeq L$.

For $0 \le i \le m$, let L_i be line bundles, and $z_i \in \mathcal{P}_{L_i}(k)$. Then z_i corresponds to a divisor D_i (which is also denoted by D_{z_i}) on X as above. Consider the canonical morphism

$$\textstyle\bigoplus_{0\le i\le m} \mathbb{Q}[D_i] \to \mathrm{CH}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}, \tag{5.3.1}$$

where the source is a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space with basis $[D_i]$. Let $u_1, \dots, u_r$ be a basis of the kernel of (5.3.1) such that $u_j \in \bigoplus_{0\le i\le m} \mathbb{Z}[D_i]$. Then there is a rational function f_j on X such that $\mathrm{div}\, f_j = u_j$ (replacing u_j if necessary). The rational function f_j is identified with an element of $\mathrm{CH}^1(X', 1)$ for an open subvariety X' of X such that f_j has no zeros nor poles on X'. We will denote by df_j/f_j the image of f_j by the cycle map

$$\mathrm{CH}^1(X', 1) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(k)}(\mathbb{Q}, H^1(X', \mathbb{Q})(1)). \tag{5.3.2}$$

Indeed, the image is expressed by df_j/f_j at the level of de Rham cohomology. Note that in the case $k = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{M}(k) = \mathrm{MHS}$, the morphism (5.3.2) is surjective with kernel $\mathbb{C}^*$, and an element in the target of (5.3.2) is called an integral logarithmic 1-form (i.e. of the form df/f for a rational function f) if it comes from integral cohomology.

We define for $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_j\}$

$$df_I/f_I = df_{i_1}/f_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{i_j}/f_{i_j} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(k)}(\mathbb{Q}, H^j(X', \mathbb{Q})(j)).$$

5.4. Generic condition. With the notation of (5.3) we assume L_i are very ample for $i > 0$. Let $\mathcal{P}'$ be the open subvariety of $\mathcal{P} := \prod_{1\le i\le m} \mathcal{P}_{L_i}$ such that the divisors D_{z_i} corresponding to $z = (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathcal{P}'(\overline{k})$ are smooth and intersect each other and also D_0 transversely (more precisely, there exists a Whitney stratification of X

compatible with D_0 such that the restrictions of D_{z_i} to each stratum form a divisor with normal crossings). We consider further the subset P_0' of $\mathcal{P}'(k)$ consisting of z which satisfies the following condition (which is closely related to Remark (1.5)(iv)):

(5.4.1) For any subset I of $\{0,\dots,m\}$ such that $|I|=n$, the intersection $D_{I,z}:=\bigcap_{i\in I}D_{z_i}$ consists of one point (i.e. the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ acts transitively on the $\overline{k}$-valued points in the intersection).

Let D_I be the closed subvariety of $X\times_k\mathcal{P}'$ with the projection $\pi: D_I\to\mathcal{P}'$ such that the fiber over $z\in\mathcal{P}'(\overline{k})$ is $D_{I,z}$. Then D_I is irreducible by a monodromy argument, and Hilbert's irreducibility theorem asserts that P_0' is quite large in the case k is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Q}$, see [22], [33].

Let k_0 be a subfield of k such that $X, L, D_0, \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}'$ are defined over k_0. We say that $(D_1,\dots,D_m)$ is k_0-*generic*, if the corresponding $z=(z_1,\dots,z_m)\in\mathcal{P}(k)$ is a generic point of $\mathcal{P}$ relative to k_0. The condition means that z is not contained in any proper subvariety of $\mathcal{P}$ defined over k_0 (in particular it is not in the complement of $\mathcal{P}'$).

In the sequel, we will assume:

(5.4.2) $D_0\,(=D_{z_0})$ is irreducible, and has only moderate singularities (5.1).

(5.4.3) The functor (1.1.1) factors through $\mathrm{MHM}(X)$.

5.5. Theorem. *With the notation and assumptions of* (5.3) *and* (5.4)*, assume further that if j in* (5.5.1) *is equal to* $\dim X$*, then k is a finitely generated subfield of $\mathbb{C}$ and the point $z=(z_1,\dots,z_m)$ corresponding to $(D_1,\dots,D_m)$ belongs to P_0' in* (5.4)*. If the image of* (5.3.1) *is not one-dimensional, we assume also that $(D_1,\dots,D_m)$ is k_0-generic for a subfield k_0 of k as in* (5.4)*. Let $X'=X\setminus\bigcup_{0\le i\le m}D_i$. Then the cycle map*

$$\mathrm{CH}^j(X',j)_{\mathbb{Q}}\to\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(k)}(\mathbb{Q},H^j(X',\mathbb{Q})(j)) \tag{5.5.1}$$

is surjective. More precisely, the target of (5.5.1) *is generated by df_I/f_I for $I\subset\{1,\dots,r\}$ with $|I|=j$. In particular, the target is zero if $j>r$.*

Proof. We proceed by increasing induction on j and m. We first consider the case where the image of (5.3.1) is one-dimensional. Then we may assume $\mathrm{div}\,f_i=a_0[D_i]-a_i[D_0]$. Take ξ from the target of (5.5.1), and consider its residue along D_m

$$\mathrm{Res}_{D_m}\xi\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(k)}(\mathbb{Q},H^{j-1}(D_m\setminus\textstyle\bigcup_{0\le i\le m-1}D_i,\mathbb{Q})(j-1)).$$

This is defined by using the connecting morphism of the localization sequence, and at the level of logarithmic forms it is given by residue. Then the inductive hypothesis implies that $\mathrm{Res}_{D_m}\xi$ is a linear combination of $df_I/f_I|_{D_m}$ for $I\subset\{1,\dots,m-1\}$ such that $|I|=j-1$. Note that the assertion for $j-1=0$ follows from (5.4.3) and the

assumption that D_m is irreducible (by definition of P_0' if $\dim X = 1$). Indeed, (5.4.3) implies that the target of (5.5.1) is $\mathbb{Q}$ if $j = 0$ and X' is irreducible.

Since $df_I/f_I|_{D_m}$ is the residue of $a_0^{-1}df_m/f_m \wedge df_I/f_I$, we may assume that $\mathrm{Res}_{D_m}\xi$ vanishes modifying ξ by a linear combination of products of integral logarithmic 1-forms as above if necessary. Then, using Lemma (5.2), the assertion is reduced to the case where m is decreased by one, and we can proceed by induction. In the case $m = 0$, we have $\xi = 0$ by (5.4.2) and (5.1.5). So the assertion follows in the first case.

In the case where the image of (5.3.1) is not one-dimensional, the argument is similar by using Lemma (5.6) below. We first show by induction on j that the target of (5.5.1) vanishes if (5.3.1) is injective. For $j = 1$, set $\widetilde{D} = \coprod_i D_i$ and

$$H^0(\widetilde{D}, \mathbb{Q})^0 = \mathrm{Ker}(H^0(\widetilde{D}, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})(1)).$$

Here $H^0(\widetilde{D}, \mathbb{Q})) = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Q}[D_i]$ by Remark (1.5)(v). We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(X, \mathbb{Q})(1) \to H^1(X', \mathbb{Q})(1) \to H^0(\widetilde{D}, \mathbb{Q})^0 \to 0,$$

so that the target of (5.5.1) is identified with the kernel of

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(k)}(\mathbb{Q}, H^0(\widetilde{D}, \mathbb{Q})^0) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{M}(k)}(\mathbb{Q}, H^1(X, \mathbb{Q})),$$

which coincides with the kernel of the Abel-Jacobi map for divisors. So the assertion follows from the injectivity of (5.3.1).

If $j > 1$, Lemma (5.6) implies the injectivity of (5.3.1) with X replaced by D_i (and k_0 by the function field of the product of $\mathcal{P}_{L_j}$ for $j \neq i$ over k_0) for any $1 \le i \le m$. Hence the target of (5.5.1) for D_i and $j-1$ vanishes by inductive hypothesis. Let $D = \bigcup_{0 \le i \le m} D_i$, $D' = D \setminus D_0$, $Z = \mathrm{Sing}\, D'$, and $d = \dim X$. Then the target of (5.5.1) vanishes by considering Gr^W_{2j-2d} of the exact sequences

$$H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{2d-j}(X \setminus D_0, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{2d-j}(X \setminus D, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{2d-j-1}(D', \mathbb{Q}),$$
$$H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{2d-j-1}(Z, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{2d-j-1}(D', \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{2d-j-1}(D' \setminus Z, \mathbb{Q}),$$

since (5.1.5) holds for

$$H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{2d-j}(X \setminus D_0, \mathbb{Q}) = H^j(X \setminus D_0, \mathbb{Q})(d),$$

and $\mathrm{Gr}^W_n H^{\mathrm{BM}}_i(Z, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $n > 2\dim Z - 2i$. (We can verify the last vanishing by using the localization sequence, because the smooth case is well-known [11].)

If (5.3.1) is not injective, we may assume that a multiple of $[D_m]$ is rationally equivalent to a linear combination of $[D_i]$ for $0 \le i < m$, and we can apply the same argument as in the first case by using Lemma (5.6) and applying the inductive hypothesis to D_m, where k_0 is replaced by the function field of $\mathcal{P}_{L_m}$ over k_0. Thus the assertion is reduced to the case where m is decreased by one (using Lemma (5.2)), and follows from the inductive hypothesis.

Thus the proof of Theorem (5.5) is reduced to the following:

5.6. Lemma. *Let X be a smooth projective k-variety, and D_i be divisors on X for $0 \le i \le m$. Assume X and D_i are defined over a subfield k_0 of k, and D_m is a k_0-generic hyperplane section of X. Let $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and assume $\sum_{0\le i<m} a_i[D_i \cap D_m] = 0$ in $\mathrm{CH}^1(D_m)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then $\sum_{0\le i<m} a_i[D_i] = 0$ in $\mathrm{CH}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Proof. Let X_{k_0}, D_{i,k_0} be k_0-varieties with isomorphisms $X = X_{k_0} \otimes_{k_0} k$, $D_i = D_{i,k_0} \otimes_{k_0} k$. Let L be a very ample line bundle of X_{k_0} such that D_m corresponds to a k-valued generic point of $S_{k_0} := \mathcal{P}_L$ in the notation of (5.4). Let Y denote the divisor on $X_{k_0} \times_{k_0} S_{k_0}$ whose fiber over $z \in S_{k_0}(\overline{k}_0)$ is the divisor corresponding to z. By assumption, there exist a k_0-variety S'_{k_0} and a dominant morphism $\rho : S'_{k_0} \to S_{k_0}$ such that

$$\textstyle\sum_{0\le i<m} a_i[D'_{i,k}] = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathrm{CH}^1(Y')_{\mathbb{Q}}, \tag{5.6.1}$$

where Y' is the base change of Y by ρ, and D'_{i,k_0} is the pull-back of D_{i,k_0} to Y' by the canonical morphism $Y' \to X_{k_0}$. Replacing S_{k_0} with a locally closed subvariety if necessary, we may assume that ρ isétale, and then it is an open embedding by using the pushforward under ρ. Let C_{k_0} be a generic line in S_{k_0} which is not contained in $S_{k_0} \setminus S'_{k_0}$. Then the restriction of $Y \otimes_{k_0} k$ over $C := C_{k_0} \otimes_{k_0} k$ is a Lefschetz pencil $f : \widetilde{X} \to C$, and $\pi : \widetilde{X} \to X$ is a blow-up along a smooth center $Z \subset X$ such that $\operatorname{codim} Z = 2$ and D_i for $i < m$ intersects Z properly (in particular, $\pi^* D_i$ does not contain the exceptional divisor of the blow-up π). Restricting (5.6.1) over a generic point of C, we get

$$\textstyle\sum_{0\le i<m} a_i[\pi^* D_i] = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathrm{CH}^1(\widetilde{X})/f^*\mathrm{CH}^1(C),$$

because the fibers of f are irreducible. Since $\mathrm{CH}^1(C) = \mathbb{Z}$, it implies

$$\textstyle\sum_{0\le i<m} a_i[\pi^* D_i] = c[\widetilde{X}_s] \quad \text{in} \quad \mathrm{CH}^1(\widetilde{X}), \tag{5.6.2}$$

where $\widetilde{X}_s$ is the fiber of f at a general k-valued point s of C. But this implies $c = 0$ by applying $\pi^*\pi_*$ to (5.6.2), because $\pi^*\pi_*\widetilde{X}_s$ is the sum of $\widetilde{X}_s$ and the exceptional divisor of π (and $\pi_*\pi^* = id$). So the assertion follows by applying π_* to (5.6.2). This completes the proofs of Lemma (5.6) and Theorem (5.5).

By a similar argument, we can prove the Tate-type conjecture corresponding to Theorem (5.5).

5.7. Theorem. *With the notation and the assumptions of Theorem* (5.5)*, assume that k is finitely generated. Then the l-adic cycle map*

$$\mathrm{CH}^j(X', j) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \to H^j(X' \otimes_k \overline{k}, \mathbb{Q}_l(j))^{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)} \tag{5.7.1}$$

is surjective.

Proof. It is well known that the l-adic Abel-Jacobi map

$$\mathrm{Pic}_Y(k)^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_l \to H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k), H^1(Y \otimes_k \overline{k}, \mathbb{Q}_l)(1))$$

for divisors on a smooth proper k-variety Y is expressed by using the exact sequences associated with the Kummer sequence, and is injective. This can be used to show vanishing of the target of (5.7.1) when (5.3.1) is injective and $j = 1$. The other arguments are similar to the proof of Theorem (5.5).

5.8. Theorem. *With the notation and the assumptions of* (5.3) *and* (5.4), *assume* $(D_1, \dots, D_m)$ *is* k_0*-generic, and if* j *in* (5.8.1) *is equal to* $\dim X$, D_I *is not a rational curve for any* $I \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ *such that* $|I| = \dim X - 1$ (*including the case* $\dim X = 1$ *and* $I = \emptyset$). *Let* $X' = X \setminus \bigcup_{0 \le i \le m} D_i$. *Then the cycle map*

$$\mathrm{CH}^j(X', j)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(k)}(\mathbb{Q}, H^j(X', \mathbb{Q})(j)) \tag{5.8.1}$$

is surjective. More precisely, the target is generated by df_I/f_I *as in Theorem* (5.5).

Proof. We may assume $k = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{M}(k) = \mathrm{MHS}$ by (5.4.3), because the assertion in the case $k = \mathbb{C}$ implies that we have a desired higher cycle over a finite extension of k, and its cycle class in the de Rham cohomology is invariant under the action of the Galois group if k is not algebraically closed. Then the assertion follows from an argument similar to the proof of Theorem (5.5) by using the next Proposition (where only one variable z_i is free and the other z_j are fixed) instead of Hilbert's irreducibility theorem in the case $j = \dim X$. When $j = 1$, an element in the target of (5.8.1) is written as df/f with f a rational function on X if it comes from integral cohomology, and $\mathrm{div}\, f = \mathrm{Res}\, df/f$ as well-known. Then we can spread this function f out so that we get a rational function on $X \times S$ where S isétale over $\mathcal{P}'$. Thus we get the spreading out of the given integral logarithmic 1-form as a horizontal family of integral logarithmic 1-forms over S. The inductive argument is similar to the proof of Theorem (5.5).

5.9. Proposition. *With the notation and the assumptions of Theorem* (5.8), *assume further* $k = \mathbb{C}$, $m = 1$ *and* $\dim X = 1$ *or* 2. *Let* $I = \{1\}$ *if* $\dim X = 1$, *and* $I = \{0, 1\}$ *if* $\dim X = 2$. *Let* ξ *be a multivalued section of the local system* $\pi_* \mathbb{Z}_{D_I}$, *where* $\pi : D_I \to \mathcal{P}'$ *is as in* (5.4). *Let* ξ_z *denote the* 0*-cycle on* $D_{I,z}$ *defined by the stalk of* ξ *at* $z \in \mathcal{P}'(\mathbb{C})$ (*which is also multivalued*). *Assume the image of* ξ_z *in* $\mathrm{CH}^1(X)$ *is locally constant for* z *if* $\dim X = 1$, *and that in* $\mathrm{CH}^1(D_{1,z})$ *vanishes* (*where* $D_{1,z} = D_{z_1}$) *if* $\dim X = 2$. *Then* ξ_z *is a multiple of the canonical* 0*-cycle* $[D_{I,z}]$ (*i.e. the coefficient of* ξ_z *at every point of* $D_{I,z}$ *is same*) *if* $\dim X = 1$, *and* $\xi = 0$ *if* $\dim X = 2$.

Proof. We first show the case $\dim X = 1$. Let $n = \dim \mathcal{P}$. This coincides with the dimension of the projective space in which X is embedded by L_1. We may assume $n \ge 2$ because the assertion is clear if $n = 1$. Since a hyperplane section is

determined generically by n points, there exists anétale morphism of a non empty Zariski-open subset W of X^n to $\mathcal{P}'$. Here we may assume that W is stable by the action of the permutation group on X^n. Furthermore, there is a nonempty Zariski-open subset U of $\mathcal{P}'$ such that any ordered n points of $D_{I,z}$ belongs to W for $z \in U(\mathbb{C})$. This is verified by using a finite étale morphism $\sigma : S \to \mathcal{P}'$ trivializing the monodromy group in $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{I,z})$. Indeed, for any subset $\Sigma \subset D_{I,z}$ with $|\Sigma| = n$, let $B_\Sigma(\mathbb{C})$ consist of points $s \in S$ such that the parallel translate of Σ over s does not belong to W. Then $U(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{P}'(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \bigcup_\Sigma \sigma(B_\Sigma(\mathbb{C}))$.

Since W is connected, this implies that the action of $\pi_1(\mathcal{P}'(\mathbb{C}), z)$ on $D_{I,z}$ is n-transitive for any $z \in U(\mathbb{C})$, and this holds for any $z \in \mathcal{P}'(\mathbb{C})$ since U is dense. Then, for any two points of $D_{I,z}$, the image of $\pi_1(\mathcal{P}'(\mathbb{C}), z)$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{I,z})$ contains a permutation of $D_{I,z}$ which exchanges the given two points and keeps the other points unchanged. Indeed, this is easy for some two points using a Lefschetz pencil. For any two points, we have a conjugate of it using the n-transitivity since $n \geq 2$.

Assume the coefficients of ξ_z at some two points of $D_{I,z}$ are not same for $z \in \mathcal{P}'(\mathbb{C})$. Then there exists $\rho \in \pi_1(\mathcal{P}'(\mathbb{C}), z)$ such that the coefficients of $\xi'_z := \xi_z - \rho_*\xi_z$ are zero except for two points of $D_{I,z}$ and ξ'_z is a nonzero cycle of degree 0. Furthermore the image of ξ'_z in $\mathrm{CH}^1(X)$ is also locally constant. This implies that the map $X^2 \to \mathrm{Jac}(X)$ defined by $(x, y) \mapsto [x] - [y]$ is locally constant (using the above morphism of W to $\mathcal{P}'$). But this is clearly a contradiction (fixing y for example). So the assertion in the case $\dim X = 1$ follows.

The argument is similar in the case $\dim X = 2$. Let $n = \dim \Gamma(D_0, L_1|_{D_0}) - 1$. We may assume $n \geq 2$, because $D_0 = \mathbb{P}^1$ and $D_{I,z}$ is one point if $n = 1$. We can show the n-transitivity of the action of $\pi_1(\mathcal{P}'(\mathbb{C}), z)$ on $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{I,z})$ by the same argument as above. To construct a permutation of two points which keeps the other points fixed, we consider a generic projection of X to $\mathbb{P}^2$, which is defined by choosing a generic three-dimensional subspace of $\Gamma(X, L_1)$. Let C_0 be the image of D_0 in $\mathbb{P}^2$, and C_1 the discriminant of $X \to \mathbb{P}^2$. Then there is a hyperplane which is tangent to C_0 at a sufficiently general smooth point (i.e. not at an inflection point) of C_0 and the other intersections with $C = C_0 \cup C_1$ are transversal. Take the pull-back D_1 of the hyperplane to X, and consider a pencil containing D_1. (Note that D_1 is smooth because the above hyperplane intersects C_1 transversely.)

Let Δ be a sufficiently small open disk in the base space $\mathbb{P}^1$ of the pencil (which is viewed as a subset of $\mathcal{P}'(\mathbb{C})$) such that the fiber at the origin is D_1. There is a connected component Δ' of $\bigcup_{z\in\Delta} D_0 \cap D_{1,z}$ which is a ramified covering of degree 2 over Δ, and the other connected components are biholomorphic to Δ. If the coefficients of ξ_z at some two points of $D_0 \cap D_{1,z}$ are not same, we may assume that these two points are $\Delta' \cap D_{1,z}$ by the n-transitivity. Then, using the local monodromy around $0 \in \Delta$, we get a nonzero cycle ξ'_z which is supported on $\Delta' \cap D_{1,z}$, and has degree 0, and whose image in $\mathrm{Jac}(D_{1,z})$ vanishes. Taking the base change

by $\Delta' \to \Delta$, we get a family of smooth proper curves $D_{1,z'}$ ($z' \in \Delta'$) which has two sections s and s' such that $\operatorname{Im} s \cup \operatorname{Im} s' = \Delta' \times_\Delta \Delta'$. This gives a univalent family of nonzero cycles $\xi'_{z'}$ supported on $\Delta' \times_\Delta \{z'\}$ for $z' \in \Delta'$ such that the image of $\xi'_{z'}$ in $\operatorname{Jac}(D_{1,z'})$ vanishes. This induces a contradiction by considering the embedding $D_{1,z'} \to \operatorname{Jac}(D_{1,z'})$ determined by $s_{z'}$. So we get $\xi = 0$ because ξ_z has degree zero. This finishes the proofs of Proposition (5.9) and Theorem (5.8).

By an argument similar to the proofs of Theorem (5.8) and Proposition (5.9), we can show the following (which is compatible with Voisin's conjecture [35], see (4.4)):

5.10. Proposition. *With the notation and the assumptions of Theorem* (5.5) *or* (5.8), *let* $D = \bigcup_{0\le i\le m} D_i$, *and* $\widetilde{D}$ *be the normalization of* D. *Then the morphism*

$$\operatorname{CH}^2(X',2)_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \operatorname{CH}^1(\widetilde{D},1)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \operatorname{CH}^1(D,1)_{\mathbb{Q}} \tag{5.10.1}$$

is surjective. More precisely, we have the surjection with $\operatorname{CH}^2(X',2)$ *replaced by the second Milnor* K*-group of* $\Gamma(X',\mathcal{O}^*_{X'})$, *and the morphism to the target is given by the tame symbol. In particular, there is no nontrivial indecomposable higher cycle in* $\operatorname{CH}^2_{\rm ind}(X,1)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ *which is supported on* D.

Proof. This follows from Theorem (5.5) or (5.8) by increasing induction on m. Indeed, let $D'_i = D_i \setminus \bigcup_{j\ne i} D_j$. The kernel of the cycle map (5.5.1) or (5.8.1) for D'_m with $j = 1$ comes from $\operatorname{CH}^1(D_m,1)$ $(= \Gamma(D_m,\mathcal{O}^*_{D_m}))$, because $\operatorname{div} f = 0$ on D_m if $f \in \Gamma(D'_m,\mathcal{O}^*_{D'_m})$ belongs to the kernel. The assertion is then reduced to the case with m decreased by one (using Theorem (5.5) or (5.8)), because the logarithmic differential of the tame symbol $\{f,g\}$ is given by the residue of $df/f \wedge dg/g$ up to a sign. In the case $m = 0$, we have $\operatorname{CH}^1(D_0,1) = \operatorname{CH}^1(\widetilde{D}_0,1)$ because D_0 is analytic-locally irreducible. So the assertion follows.

5.11. Theorem. *Let* X *be a smooth projective* k*-variety with an ample line bundle* L, *and* X' *be the complement of a union of smooth hypersurfaces* $D_0,\dots,D_m$ *with respect to* L *such that* $D := \bigcup_{0\le i\le m} D_i$ *is a divisor with normal crossings. Let* p,n *be positive integers such that* $p > n$ *and* $2p-n < \dim X$. *Assume that the* $\mathcal{M}$*-Hodge conjecture is true for codimension* $p-n$ *cycles on* X. *Then the cycle map*

$$\operatorname{CH}^p(X',n)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(k)}(\mathbb{Q}, H^{2p-n}(X',\mathbb{Q})(p)) \tag{5.11.1}$$

is surjective. More precisely, the target is generated by $df_I/f_I \wedge cl(\zeta)$ *in the notation of* (5.3) *where* I *is a subset of* $\{1,\dots,r\}$ *with* $|I| = n$, *and* $\zeta \in \operatorname{CH}^{p-n}(X)$.

Proof. This follows from an argument similar to the proofs of Theorems (5.5) and (5.8) by increasing induction on m and j. Indeed, the condition $2p-n < \dim X$ implies that $2p-2n < \dim D_I$, and the restriction morphism induces an isomorphism

$$H^{2p-2n}(X,\mathbb{Q}) \to H^{2p-2n}(D_I,\mathbb{Q})$$

by the weak Lefschetz theorem. Furthermore, the condition implies in the case $m = 0$ that $H^{2p-n}(X', \mathbb{Q})$ is pure of weight $2p - n$ by an argument similar to the proof of Lemma (5.2) (using the hard Lefschetz theorem). This finishes the proof of Theorem (5.11).

5.12. Remarks. (i) The last assertion of (5.12) does not hold unless the D_i are hypersurface sections of the same ample line bundle. For example consider $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ with D_i a general hyperplane section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(b_i)$ for $i = 0, 1$, where a_i, b_i are positive integers such that $a_0 b_1 - a_1 b_0 \neq 0$. Then (5.3.1) is injective, i.e. $r = 0$. But the target of (5.1.1) does not vanish for $p = 2, n = 1$. Indeed, $\dim H^4(X, \mathbb{Q}) = 2$, and the Gysin morphism $H^2(D_i, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^4(X, \mathbb{Q})(1)$ is an isomorphism, because the restriction morphism $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^2(D_i, \mathbb{Q})$ and its composition with the Gysin morphism are isomorphisms by the hard and weak Lefschetz theorems. Using the weight spectral sequence, this implies that $\mathrm{Gr}_4^W H^3(X', \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}(-2)$, and the assertion follows because $\mathrm{Gr}_3^W H^3(X', \mathbb{Q}) = 0$.

(ii) The converse of Theorem (4.1) is not true in general even if (4.1.1) is restricted to higher cycles supported on the complement of U for which the surjectivity of (4.1.2) holds. Indeed, let X be a smooth complex projective variety such that $\Gamma(X, \Omega_X^1) \neq 0$. Take very ample line bundles L_0, L_1 such that $L_0 \otimes L_1^\vee$ is a non-torsion point of the Picard variety of X. Let D_0, D_1 be general hyperplane sections of L_0, L_1 which intersect transversely. Let $X' = X \backslash (D_0 \cup D_1)$. Then, by an argument similar to the proof of Proposition (5.9), we get

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MHS}}(\mathbb{Q}, H^2(X', \mathbb{Q})(2)) = 0.$$

In particular, (4.1.2) is surjective for $n = 1, p = 2$, and the surjectivity of (4.1.3) is easy, see [20]. Consider now a decomposable higher cycle $\zeta := ([D_0] - [D_1]) \otimes \alpha \in \mathrm{CH}^2(X, 1)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. It is nonzero if $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is not algebraic over a subfield k on which X and D_i are defined (see [32]). But $cl(\zeta)$ always vanishes because $[D_0] - [D_1]$ is homologically equivalent to zero.

References

[1] M. Asakura, Motives and algebraic de Rham cohomology, in The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff), CRM Proc. Lect. Notes, 24, AMS, 2000, pp. 133–154.

[2] A.A. Beilinson, Higher regulators and values of L-functions, J. Soviet Math. 30 (1985), 2036–2070.

[3] A.A. Beilinson, Notes on absolute Hodge cohomology, Contemporary Math. 55 (1986) 35–68.

[4] A.A. Beilinson, Height pairing between algebraic cycles, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 1289, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 1–26.

[5] A.A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne, Faisceaux pervers, Astérisque, vol. 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.

[6] S. Bloch, Lectures on algebraic cycles, Duke University Mathematical series 4, Durham, 1980.

[7] S. Bloch, Algebraic cycles and values of L-functions, J. Reine Angew. Math. 350 (1984), 94–108.
[8] S. Bloch, Algebraic cycles and higher K-theory, Advances in Math., 61 (1986), 267–304.
[9] S. Bloch, Algebraic cycles and the Beilinson conjectures, Contemporary Math. 58 (1) (1986), 65–79.
[10] J. Carlson, Extensions of mixed Hodge structures, in Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d'Angers 1979, Sijthoff-Noordhoff Alphen a/d Rijn, 1980, pp. 107–128.
[11] P. Deligne, Théorie de Hodge I, Actes Congrès Intern. Math., 1970, vol. 1, 425-430; II, Publ. Math. IHES, 40 (1971), 5–57; III ibid., 44 (1974), 5–77.
[12] P. Deligne, Valeurs de fonctions L et périodes d'intégrales, in Proc. Symp. in pure Math., 33 (1979) part 2, pp. 313–346.
[13] P. Deligne, J. Milne, A. Ogus and K. Shih, Hodge Cycles, Motives, and Shimura varieties, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 900, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
[14] C. Deninger and A. Scholl, The Beilinson conjectures, Proceedings Cambridge Math. Soc. (eds. Coats and Taylor) 153 (1992), 173–209.
[15] F. El Zein and S. Zucker, Extendability of normal functions associated to algebraic cycles, in Topics in transcendental algebraic geometry, Ann. Math. Stud., 106, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1984, pp. 269–288.
[16] H. Esnault and E. Viehweg, Deligne-Beilinson cohomology, in Beilinson's conjectures on Special Values of L-functions, Academic Press, Boston, 1988, pp. 43–92.
[17] M. Green, Algebraic cycles and Hodge theory (Lecture notes at the Banff conference).
[18] P. Griffiths, On the period of certain rational integrals I, II, Ann. Math. 90 (1969), 460–541.
[19] U. Jannsen, Deligne homology, Hodge-D-conjecture, and motives, in Beilinson's conjectures on Special Values of L-functions, Academic Press, Boston, 1988, pp. 305–372.
[20] U. Jannsen, Mixed motives and algebraic K-theory, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 1400, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
[21] U. Jannsen, Motivic sheaves and filtrations on Chow groups, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 55 (1994), Part 1, pp. 245–302.
[22] S. Lang, Fundamentals of Diophantine geometry, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
[23] S. Müller-Stach, Constructing indecomposable motivic cohomology classes on algebraic surfaces, J. Alg. Geom. 6 (1997), 513–543.
[24] D. Mumford, Rational equivalence of 0-cycles on surfaces, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 9 (1969), 195–204.
[25] J.P. Murre, On the motive of an algebraic surface, J. Reine Angew. Math. 409 (1990), 190–204.
[26] C. Pedrini, Bloch's conjecture and the K-theory of projective surfaces, in The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2000, pp. 195–213.
[27] A. Rosenschon, Indecomposable Elements of K_1, K-theory 16 (1999), 185–199.
[28] M. Saito, Mixed Hodge modules, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., 26 (1990), 221–333.
[29] M. Saito, Hodge conjecture and mixed motives, I, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 53 (1991), 283–303; II, in Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 1479, Springer, Berlin, 1991, pp. 196–215.
[30] M. Saito, On the formalism of mixed sheaves, RIMS-preprint 784, Aug. 1991 (reproduced in arXiv:math/0611597).
[31] M. Saito, Bloch's conjecture, Deligne cohomology and higher Chow groups, preprint RIMS–1284 (or arXiv:math/9910113).
[32] M. Saito, Arithmetic mixed sheaves, Inv. Math. 144 (2001), 533–569.
[33] J.-P. Serre, Lectures on the Mordell-Weil theorem, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1989.

[34] C. Voisin, Transcendental methods in the study of algebraic cycles, in Lect. Notes in Math. vol. 1594, pp. 153–222.
[35] C. Voisin, Remarks on zero-cycles of self-products of varieties, in Moduli of Vector Bundles, Lect. Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 179, M. Dekker, New York, 1996, pp. 265–285.

RIMS Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502 Japan
E-mail address: msaito@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp